

The essential criticism raised by the Board of Appeals in the parent application related to the Examiner's ability to independently evaluate the evidence of record. The Amery review article was criticised for its failure to provide sufficient information to allow such an evaluation.

In the present application, the Examiner is being provided with the primary articles in order to permit him to make an independent evaluation of the studies reported in the Amery review article. It is respectfully submitted that this evidence is sufficient to establish the utility of the rejected claims and hence the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw his rejection and allow the claims.

With particular reference to the particular terms objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112 (first paragraph), it is respectfully submitted that the disclosure is in fact sufficient. All of the terms to which the Examiner has objected find basis in the specification. It is noted that the Examiner has not rejected any claims as being directed to new matter. Whether the terms lack clear exemplary support in the specification as filed is irrelevant in view of the evidence submitted herewith.

If the Examiner continues to maintain his rejection of these claims, he is requested to point out the particular defects of the evidence now of record in line with the decision of the Board of Appeals in Applicants' parent application.

Respectfully submitted,



Geoffrey G. Dellenbaugh  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 26,864

GGD/dd  
December 2, 1982  
Enclosures  
501 George Street  
New Brunswick, N.J. 08903  
(201) 524-9323